












From:  **David Ferland** 

 charlesrossell@yahoo.com

 Renee Carpenter <reneehc6@verizon.net>  greg.hooker@goddard.edu
 cynthia johnson <collards@sover.net>  Joey Klein <littlewood@innevi.com>
 mac lore <maclore333@yahoo.com>  daniel marcus <djmjazz@yahoo.com>
 mark.schulman@goddard.edu  tonio@together.net

Subject: Re: Draft programming committee minutes June 26,2008

charlesrossell@yahoo.com writes:

salve all;

I am sorry it took me so long to get this together. I have been working out of town with limited Internet access.

We have some programs produced at other stations submitted for us to preview. Most are submitted in mp3 format. Does that easily work for you? I can convert to standard Cd's. Let me know if that is more convenient for you.

The following is a draft of the minutes of our June 26 meeting. Please make additions corrections etc.

ciao Charles

Draft

WGDR Programming Committee Meeting

June 26, 2008

Members Attending: Dave Ferland, Greg Hooker, Mark Schulman, Cynthia Johnson, Daniel Marcus, Renee Carpenter, Tonio Epstein, Charles Rossell

Facilitator Charles Rossell

Transcription Katy Rossell

Intros:

Introductions all around

Agenda

An agenda for the meeting and for future meetings is formed by the group with all members adding at least one item to the list.

- Review Agenda
- Consensus Process
- History Programming Committee
- Scheduling Programming Committee
- Mission Statement
- Process Programming Committee
- Programming
 - a. Public Affairs Programming
 - b. Programmer needs vs Listener needs
- Listener Survey
- Goddard WGDR Relationship

Media Studies
Review Shows
Open Format vs Web Cast

There is a lengthy discussion about the duration of and makeup of the morning public affairs block.

The committee discusses consensus and agrees to make all agreements and decisions by consensus.

The discussion of the apposing interests of webcasting vs broadcasting begins. The group agrees that this is a big issue that needs to be discussed over time in the committee, in other governance committees, and with as many programmers as possible included in the discussion, including at general programmers meetings.

The committee discusses the history and mission of the programming committee and concludes it's purpose is to be the blender where programming issues can be worked on by as diverse a group as possible, where the other committees of WGDR can be represented and work with each other and the listeners, programmers, station management, and the college. The meeting will be totally open and anyone can come.

No formal decision is made about who will or how will the committee be comprised.

Renee recommends, and the committee agrees that the minutes of the meetings should be posted on WGDR's website.

A wide ranging discussion manifests it's self where previewing programs is discussed, balance between local and imported programs, and balance of the many types of locally produced programs. Reviewing programs to improve quality. Listener and programmer needs, similarities and differences. Listener survey and committee mission statement.

Cynthia points out that there is more to do than can be done in 4 meetings a year. The committee agrees to work on the agenda between meetings and communicate via e-mail to facilitate that extra work.

Daniel will draft a mission statement including the word advisory to be presented and discussed at the next meeting. Dave will put together a web based survey to be worked on at the next meeting.

9. The group agrees the next meeting will be the morning of the 3rd Thursday of September. 9-18-8